

## CPRA Parish Matching Program – Scoring Criteria Points Breakdown

	Criteria	High	Medium	Low
1	Consistency with the objectives of the Comprehensive Master Plan for a Sustainable Coast and the provisions of LA. R.S. 49:214.5.4(I) in effect at the time of the proposal	<b>5 Points -</b> Project is expressly listed in the Master Plan	<b>0-4 points -</b> Project not expressly listed in the Master Plan but does not pose direct negative impact to Master Plan Project(s)	<b>The proposal does not qualify for the Parish Matching Program</b> Project is not consistent with and poses direct negative impacts to Master Plan project(s)
2	The ability of the project to restore and protect coastal habitats suitable for ecologically and commercially important species	<b>20 Points max (13-20 points) -</b> Proposal provides considerable information showing that the project, once built/implemented will restore and protect coastal habitats suitable for ecologically and commercially important species. This information is provided in the proposal and the stated ability seems reasonable.	<b>6-12 Points –</b> Proposal provides adequate information showing that the project, once built/implemented may restore and protect coastal habitats suitable for ecologically and commercially important species. This information is provided in the proposal and the stated ability may seem reasonable, but some uncertainty remains.	<b>0-5 Points -</b> Project package provides very little to no information showing that the project, once built/implemented will restore and protect coastal habitats suitable for ecologically and commercially important species And/or, the information provided in the proposal does not seem reasonable.
	---OR--- The ability of the project to reduce economic losses from storm surge	<b>20 Points max (13-20 points) -</b> Proposed project, once implemented, will significantly reduce economic losses from storm surge. This should closely follow the Master Plan alignments and/or areas available on the CIMS viewer.	<b>6-12 Points –</b> Proposed project, once implemented, may provide some to minimal economic losses from storm surge. The alignment may mostly follow the Master Plan alignments and/or areas available on the CIMS viewer.	<b>0-5 Points -</b> Proposed project does not follow the Master Plan alignments and/or areas available on the CIMS viewer.
3	The relative merits of the proposed activity, including the scope of project benefits based on the information contained in the proposal	<b>20 Points max (13-20 points) -</b> Benefits of the project are expressly listed in the proposal. The listed benefits are reasonable given the content of the proposal. Project is well-developed. The cost to benefit ratio is reasonable.	<b>6-12 Points –</b> Benefits of the project are mentioned in the proposal. The listed benefits are somewhat reasonable given the content of the proposal. Project may require minimal additional planning. The cost to benefit ratio may be reasonable. There may be some uncertainty regarding overall benefits.	<b>0-5 Points -</b> Benefits of the project are not listed in the proposal and/or listed benefits are unreasonable given the content of the proposal. The project is not well-developed. The cost to benefit ratio is unreasonable.
4	Synergy with other integrated coastal protection and restoration efforts	<b>10 Points max (7-10 points) -</b> Proposal lists constructed projects or projects funded for construction located geographically near and projects that could be affected by proposed project. Proposed project has strong potential to positively impact nearby projects; potential impacts are clearly indicated in the proposal.	<b>4-7 Points –</b> Proposal lists projects located geographically near and projects that could be affected by proposed project. Proposed project may have some potential to positively impact nearby projects; potential impacts are indicated in the proposal.	<b>0-3 Points -</b> Proposal does not list projects located geographically near and projects that could be affected by proposed project. Proposed project has potential to negatively impact nearby projects, and/or potential impacts are not clearly indicated in the proposal.
5	Feasibility and/or constructability of the proposed project	<b>15 Points max (10-15 points) -</b> Proposed project is feasible and/or constructible. The project can be designed and/or constructed given the estimated/requested amount. The proposed budget and timeline for completing the project are reasonable. Adequate information is provided in the proposal to make these determinations. Source of all funding is identified and secured.	<b>5-9 Points –</b> Proposed project may be feasible and/or constructible. The project could possibly be designed and/or constructed given the estimated/requested amount. The proposed budget and timeline for completing the project may be reasonable. There is some uncertainty regarding the feasibility and/or constructability of the project, or not enough adequate information was provided to make these determinations. Source of all funding is identified but speculative.	<b>0-4 Points -</b> Proposed project is not feasible and/or constructible. The project cannot be designed and/or constructed given the estimated/requested amount. The proposed budget is unrealistic. Source of all funding is unclear.
6	Funds made available by the parish for the proposed activity	<b>10 Points max</b> For every 10% of cost share provided by the Parish, a project will score one point, up to 10 points.		<b>The proposal does not qualify for the Parish Matching Program</b> The parish has not included their RESTORE dollars as a match for any phase of this project.